

TERMS OF REFERENCE



Page 1

SCM /Tender Ref #:	DPME 20/2022-2023

	Panel of Service Providers: Invitation to serve on DPME panel of researchers,
Request for proposals for:	evaluators, sector experts and other related specialists in the generation of
	evidence for policy for a period of 36 months.

Bid closing date and time as well as the date and time of briefing session (if any) are indicated on the attached SBD1. Quotations / proposals received after the closing date and time indicated on SBD 1 will not be accepted.

Bidders must provide one original and 5 (five) copies of proposals submitted.

Only 1 (one) original price proposal and SBDs are required.

Estimated project start date:	Expected project duration (Months)
December 2022	36

1. BID INFORMATION

Information and guidelines on the format and delivery of bids are contained in the attached bid documents. Please take note of the closing date and date of compulsory briefing session (if any).

2. PROPOSAL FORMAT

A detailed proposal in response to this ToR must be submitted. The proposal should contain all the information required to evaluate the bid against the requirements stipulated in this terms of reference. The following must be attached to the proposal as annexures:

- The published terms of reference (this document, including Annexure A to this document).
- All other forms / certificates required (see bid documents).

3. CONDITIONS OF BID

Detailed conditions applicable to all bids are contained in the bid documents accompanying this Terms of Reference. Only suppliers that meet all the requirements stipulated in the terms of reference and bid documents will be considered.

No late bids will be accepted. Only bids from service providers that attended the					
compulsory briefing session (if specified above) will be considered. Bids must be valid					
for a minimum period of 120 days after the closing date. ENQUIRIES					
Name: Harsha Dayal Marthinus Prinsloo					
Tel: 012 312 0166 012 312 0417					
e-mail: harsha@dpme.gov.za marthinus@dpme.gov.za					

1. BACKGROUND

Annexure A contains a detailed description of the requirements for this project, including:

- Background / context
- Problem statement / purpose
- Objectives and scope of project
- Proposed methodology / approach
- Deliverables and time frames

2. REQUIREMENTS

This call is for individuals/companies/ organisations to be included on DPME's Panel of Service Providers (PSP) as described in annexure A. Individuals/Companies may be self-represented or represent an organization and must be registered on the Central Supplier Database (CSD).

2.1. Expertise, qualifications and experience required

Bidders will demonstrate minimum qualifications and experience in the role or expertise identified in the table below.

*Role/Expertise	Scope and tasks	Qualification	Experience	
Sector expert	The sectors will include social (e.g. health, education, social cohesion, gender, disability, children), economic (e.g. land, rural, macro, micro, small business, international, infrastructure), environmental (e.g. climate change, sustainable development, food security); Provide high level technical, analytical and research skills aligned to the sector needs	Minimum: Master's Degree in relevant field as per scope and task. Advantage: PhD qualification in the relevant field as per scope and task.	Minimum: 5 years of sector experience as per scope and task	
Researcher	 Basic, intermediate and applied research Sourcing of data, information and evidence as per the criteria set out Participate in quality control and appraisal of research studies 	Minimum: Honors Degree in relevant sector/s as per scope and task. Advantage: Master's degree in relevant sector/s as per scope and task.	Minimum: 2 years of research experience as per scope and task	
Evaluator	 Design and undertake quality evaluations Provide technical support and implementation 	Minimum: Honors Degree in relevant sector/s as per scope and task. Advantage: Master's degree in relevant sector/s as per scope and task.	Minimum: 2 years' of evaluation experience as per scope and task	
Information specialist	 Search for relevant information through search engines and organize the information in appropriate categories where requested. Curate, store and communicate findings to the technical task team and strategic committee 	Minimum: Undergraduate degree in Information & Knowledge management as per scope and task Advantage: Honors degree in relevant field as per scope and task	Minimum: 3 years of experience as per scope and task	
Data analyst	Develop high level data analysis and intelligence from integrated	Minimum: Honors degree in relevant field as per scope and task	Minimum:	

*Role/Expertise	Scope and tasks	Qualification	Experience
	interventions and indicators relevant to policy priority areas	Advantage: Advanced level certification or degree in data science, management, computer science, information technology or other related skills.	3 years' experience as per scope and task
Methodology expert: Primary, applied and synthesis research methods	 Expertise in primary (qualitative, quantitative) applied or synthesis methods Serve as a reference person to guide on principles of systematic searching. Provide quality assurance for evidence synthesis assignments 	Minimum: Master's degree in social sciences or relevant field as per scope and task Advantage: PhD in relevant field or teaching research methodology at tertiary level	Minimum: 3 years' experience as per scope and task
Peer reviewer	Peer-reviewing of research and/or evaluation reports per area of expertise	Minimum: Master's degree in relevant field as per scope and task Advantage: PhD in relevant field as per scope and task	Minimum: 5 years' experience as per scope and task

^{*} Bidders/Individuals are required to fulfil at least a single expertise/ role to qualify, subject to meeting minimum qualification and experience required as outlined in paragraph 2.1.

2.2. Capacity to undertake research

The following criteria will be considered and where the applicant must demonstrate capacity. Individuals who are applying without this capacity will not be penalized, but must declare where this capacity is not available:

- Access to data sources, search facilities and literature
- Liaison with research institutes, science councils, universities and public knowledge entities (especially where individuals are applying in their private research capacity)
- Networks and partnerships that the individual can bring to the panel

2.3. Past performance

The past performance of bidders in executing short term projects or research and analysis produced in rapid turnaround times, without compromising on quality and rigor, will be evaluated using the references supplied by bidders as well as any other information available to the panel. The Department reserves the right to reject a bid if the service provider failed to perform satisfactorily on similar projects. The following must be submitted by each applicant:

- Detailed CV indicating qualifications, previous experience as well as references (references must be contactable).
- Copies of qualifications.

DPME reserves the right to verify all qualifications through the South African Qualifications Authority and to verify experience indicated on CVs with third parties.

2.4. Project management

The DPME Research and Knowledge Management (RKM) unit will be the Project Management Office of assignments to be undertaken. A coproduction approach will mean that the full project team (internal to DPME and external capacity) will complete assignments in short/expected turnaround times. There may be several projects undertaken at the same time, depending on demand. Individuals will be matched with a DPME team as per the project scope and description in annexure A. Individuals representing an organization must reflect this in the proposal process.

Page 3

3. COSTING METHODOLOGY

No costing is required since this is only a panel of pre-approved service providers.

4. EVALUATION OF BIDS

4.1. Administrative requirements

Only bids that comply with all administrative requirements and that submitted all required bid documents (acceptable bids) will be considered during the functional evaluation phase. Only acceptable bids will be scored by the Bid Evaluation Committee against the functional criteria indicated in this Terms of Reference.

4.2. Scoring of bids (functional criteria)

The following weighting and scoring system will be applied to the evaluation of all functional criteria:

Weight allocation	Scoring system
1 – Value adding requirement (minimum score of 2)	1 – Does not comply with the requirements
3 – Important requirement (minimum score of 6 or	2 – Partial compliance with requirements
9)	3 – Full compliance with requirements
5 – Essential requirement / integral part of project	4 – Exceeds requirements
(minimum score of 15)	

Score per criteria: The final score obtained by a bidder for each criteria will be calculated by multiplying the <u>weight</u> and the <u>score indicated by each Bid Evaluation Panel member</u> and then by averaging the scores of all panel members. The average score per criteria is expressed as a number.

The overall score obtained by a bidder (expressed as a percentage) will be calculated as follows:

Overall Score (%) =
$$\frac{Sum \ of \ average \ scores \ for \ all \ criteria}{Sum \ of \ weights \ X \ 4} \ X \ 100$$

4.3. Functional evaluation Part 1 - Quantitative criteria

<u>Part 1: Minimum functional requirements</u>: Only one of the minimum criterion scores must be met to qualify to be included in the Panel of Service Providers (PSP). In cases where bidders submitted insufficient evidence or where evidence is ambiguous, bidders may be requested to provide additional evidence and may be rescored based on this information. Additional information submitted may only be used as evidence to substantiate what is already contained in the proposal. The costing and content of proposals may not be amended.

Ver: 2019/05/17

Bidders/Individuals are required to fulfil at least a single expertise/ role to qualify, subject to meeting minimum qualification and experience required as outlined in paragraph 2.1.

Expertise	Evaluation criteria	Weight	Min Score
Sector expert	 1= The Sector Expert does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications as per paragraph 2.1. 3= The Sector Expert meets all of the minimum experience and 	3	9
	qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1. 4= The Sector Expert exceeds the minimum experience or qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1.	3	
Researcher	 1= The Researcher does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications as per paragraph 2.1. 3= The Researcher meets all of the minimum experience and qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1. 	3	9
	4= The Researcher exceeds the minimum experience or qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1.		
Evaluator	 1= The Evaluator does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications as per paragraph 2.1. 3= The Evaluator meets all of the minimum experience and qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1. 4= The Evaluator exceeds the minimum experience or 	3	9
	qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1.		
Information specialist	 1= The Information Specialist does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications as per paragraph 2.1. 3= The Information Specialist meets all of the minimum experience and qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1. 	3	9
	4= The Information Specialist exceeds the minimum experience or		
Data analyst	qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1. 1= The Data analyst does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications as per paragraph 2.1. 3= The Data analyst meets all of the minimum experience and qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1. 4= The Data analyst exceeds the minimum experience or qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1.	3	9
Methodology expert: Primary, applied and synthesis research methods	 1= The Methodology expert does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications as per paragraph 2.1. 3= The Methodology expert meets all of the minimum experience and qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1. 4= The Methodology expert exceeds the minimum experience or qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1. 	3	9
Peer reviewer	1 = The Peer Reviewer does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications as per paragraph 2.1 3 = The Peer Reviewer meets all of the minimum experience and qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1 4 = The Peer reviewer exceeds the minimum experience and qualifications requirements as per paragraph 2.1	3	9

^{*} Bidders/individuals who meet the minimum score above will qualify for the panel (no pricing required)

5. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

The successful bidder will be required to enter into a service level agreement (SLA) with the Department of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation. The National Treasury General Conditions of Contract (GCC) will form part of the SLA to be concluded between DPME and the successful bidder. A copy of the standard DPME SLA is available on the DPME tenders website. Bidders should familiarise themselves the content of the standard template.

Ridders	should	note	that:

- All information related to this bid, or information provided to the service provider subsequent to the award of
 this bid, must be treated as confidential and may not be disclosed in any way to third parties without the
 explicit written consent of DPME.
- All right, title and ownership of any Intellectual Property developed by or for the Service Provider or DPME independently and outside of execution/production of the Deliverables related to this bid, and provided during the course of this project ("Background IP") shall remain the sole property of the party providing the Background IP.
- To the extent that the Service Provider utilises any of its Background IP in connection with the Deliverables, such Background IP shall remain the property of the Service Provider and DPME shall acquire no right or interest therein, save that, upon payment of the applicable consideration, the Service Provider shall grant DPME a non-exclusive, royalty-free, non-transferable licence to use such Background IP strictly for purposes of making beneficial use of the Deliverables into which such Background IP has been incorporated.
- All Intellectual Property rights in Bespoke Deliverables are or will be vested in and owned by DPME unless
 specifically agreed otherwise in writing. The Service Provider agrees that it shall not, under any circumstances,
 question or dispute the rights and ownership of DPME in and to the Bespoke Deliverables. DPME shall grant
 the Service Provider a non-exclusive, royalty free, non-transferable licence to use the Bespoke Deliverables for
 the purpose of performing its obligations under this project.
- The Service Provider may not publish or sell, in whole or in part, any Bespoke Deliverables emanating from this project without the explicit written consent of DPME.
- The Copyright of any Bespoke Deliverables shall vest in DPME.

6. SPECIAL CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO THIS BID

- 6.1. This call is aimed at recruiting as many members to the panel as possible, who meet the criteria set out.
- 6.2. Service Providers are not guaranteed any awards for the duration of the existence of the panel.
- 6.3. Depending on the response to serve on this panel, DPME reserves the right to advertise Request For Proposals (RFPs) as and when required per project, on a rotational basis.
- 6.4. DPME reserves the right to invite open bids as and when required.
- 6.5. DPME reserves the right to cancel the panel.

Initials of specification committee members:	 	 	
DPME 20 2022-23 TOR.docx	Ver: 2019/05/17		Page 6