

planning, monitoring & evaluation

TERMS OF REFERENCE



Department: Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation **REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**

SCM /Tender Ref #:	DPME 18-	2022/23									
_		Appointmen			-	-					-
Request for proposals for: ir		implementat	ole and	d workab	le scenar	ios to	addres	s the une	mployme	ent c	crisis in

Bid closing date and time as well as the date and time of briefing session as indicated on the attached SBD1. Quotations / proposals received after the closing date and time indicated on SBD 1 will not be accepted.

Bidders must provide <u>one original</u> and <u>5 (five) copies</u> of proposals submitted.

South Africa

Only 1 (one) original price proposal and SBDs are required.

Estimated project start date:	Expected project duration (Months)			
June 2023	8 months			

1. BID INFORMATION

Information and guidelines on the format and delivery of bids are contained in the attached bid documents. Please take note of the closing date and date of compulsory briefing session (if any).

2. PROPOSAL FORMAT

A detailed proposal in response to this Terms of Reference (ToR) must be submitted. The proposal should contain all the information required to evaluate the bid against the requirements stipulated in this terms of reference. The following must be attached to the proposal as annexures:

- Annexure B1: Proposed team (Must use attached Excel template)
- Annexure B2: Summary of past experience of team members (Must use attached Excel template)
- Annexure B3: Deliverables and allocation of time to team members (Must use attached Excel template).
- Annexure B4: Pricing information. Price proposals must <u>include VAT</u> and should be fully inclusive to deliver the <u>all outputs</u> indicated in the terms of reference (Must use attached Excel template).
- The published terms of reference (this document, including Annexure A to this document).
- All other forms / certificates required (see bid documents).

3. CONDITIONS OF BID

Detailed conditions applicable to all bids are contained in the bid documents accompanying this Terms of Reference. Only suppliers that meet all the requirements stipulated in the terms of reference and bid documents will be considered.

No late bids will be accepted. Only bids from service providers that attended the compulsory briefing session will be considered. Bids must be valid for a minimum period of 120 days after the closing date.

ENQUIRIES					
	Technical	SCM			
Name:	Lydia Maredi	Aubrey Ramalobela			
Tel:	012 312 0256	012 312 0424			

1. BACKGROUND

Annexure A contains a detailed description of the requirements for this project, including:

- Background / context
- Problem statement / purpose
- Objectives and scope of project
- Proposed methodology / approach
- Deliverables and time frames

2. EXPERIENCE / SKILLS / TEAM COMPOSITION / PAST PERFORMANCE

The attached spread sheet <u>must</u> be used to summarise qualifications, skills, and past experience, and to cost the proposal.

2.1. Team Qualifications and Experience required

Bidders will demonstrate adequate experience through the qualifications and experience of the roles demonstrated in the table below. The attached template (B1) must be used to summarise experience and the proposal must contain details about projects worked on including roles, cost and duration as well as names and contact persons at contracting party.

Roles*	Qualifications	Experience
Team Leader/ Project Manager	Minimum: Master's degree in Economics or Development studies or planning & futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences	Minimum: At least 5 years' experience in planning, futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences.
	Advantage: Doctorate's degree in Economics or Development studies or planning & futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences	Advantage: Demonstrate at least 10 years' experience in planning, futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences. Successfully managed and completed at least 3 futurist/scenario development projects in the past 5 years.
Sector expert	Minimum: Master's degree in Economics or Development studies or planning & futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences	Minimum: At least 5 years' experience in economic research and specialist knowledge in futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences.
	Advantage: Doctorate's degree in Economics or Development studies or planning & futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences	Advantage: At least 10 years' work experience in economic research and specialist knowledge in futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences.
Researcher	Minimum: Master's degree in Economics or Development studies or planning & futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences	Minimum: At least 5 years' experience in economic research, planning, futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences.
	Advantage: Doctorate's degree in Economics or Development studies or planning & futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences	Advantage: At least 10 years' work experience in economic research, planning, futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences. Successfully completed at least 3 research projects

Roles*	Qualifications	Experience
		and played a lead researcher role in the
		past 5 years.
Facilitator/Stakeholder Relations Expert	Minimum: Master's degree in Economics or Development studies or planning & futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences	Minimum: At least 5 years' work experience in planning, futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences.
	Advantage: Doctorate's degree in Economics or Development studies or planning & futurist/scenario development, or other relevant social sciences	Advantage: At least 10 years' experience in facilitating planning, futurist/scenario development projects, or other relevant social sciences projects.

* One team member can have more than one of the roles indicated.

2.2. Confirmation of experience, qualification and availability

The following must be submitted for each of the proposed team members:

- Written confirmation of availability (signed by the proposed team member) for the expected duration of the project of to produce the deliverable(s) as indicated in Annexure B.
- Detailed CV indicating qualifications, previous experience as well as letters of reference (references must be contactable).
- Copies of qualifications.

DPME reserves the right to verify all qualifications through the South African Qualifications Authority and to verify experience indicated on CVs with third parties.

2.3. Past performance

The past performance of bidders in executing similar projects will be evaluated using the references supplied by bidders as well as any other information available to the panel. Below satisfactory performance on a particular project may only be considered if such performance was communicated to the bidder by the contracting party and the bidder was given a reasonable opportunity to correct any deficiencies highlighted by the contracting party. The Department reserves the right to reject a bid if the service provider failed to perform satisfactorily on similar projects.

2.4. Project management

The bid proposal submitted by the bidder must include a detailed project plan. As summary of deliverable dates must be included in Annexure B3. The start of the project will depend on the DPME procurement process. The total duration of the project as indicated in the bidder's proposal is binding (except for delays due to circumstance beyond the bidder's control).

3. COSTING METHODOLOGY

Prices must be <u>inclusive of VAT</u> (if VAT registered) and must include <u>all costs to fully execute all deliverables</u> indicated in this ToR. No variation in contract price will be permitted. Annexure B4 must be used to summarise costing.

4. EVALUATION OF BIDS

4.1. Administrative requirements

Annexures B1 to B4 <u>must</u> be completed using Microsoft Excel or compatible software. Annexures completed by hand (in writing) will not be accepted and such bids will be regarded as administratively non-compliant.

Only bids / quotes that comply with all administrative requirements and that submitted all required bid documents (acceptable bids) will be considered during the functional evaluation phase. Only acceptable bids / quotes will be scored by the Bid Evaluation Committee against the functional criteria indicated in this Terms of Reference.

4.2. Scoring of bids (functional criteria)

The following weighting and scoring system will be applied to the evaluation of all functional criteria:

Weight allocation	Scoring system
1 – Value adding requirement (minimum score of 2)	1 – Does not comply with the requirements
3 – Important requirement (minimum score of 6 or 9)	2 – Partial compliance with requirements
5 – Essential requirement / integral part of project	3 – Full compliance with requirements
(minimum score of 15)	4 – Exceeds requirements

Score per criteria: The final score obtained by a bidder for each criteria will be calculated by multiplying the <u>weight</u> and the <u>score indicated by each Bid Evaluation Panel member</u> and then by averaging the scores of all panel members. The average score per criteria is expressed as a number.

The **overall score** obtained by a bidder (expressed as a percentage) will be calculated as follows:

 $Overall Score (\%) = \frac{Sum of average scores for all criteria}{Sum of weights X 4} X 100$

4.3. Functional evaluation Part 1 – Quantitative criteria

Part 1: Minimum functional requirements: Only bids that scored at least the minimum score for each criteria will proceed to functional evaluation part 2. In cases where bidders submitted insufficient evidence or where evidence is ambiguous, bidders may be requested to provide additional evidence and may be re-scored based on this information. Additional information submitted may only be used as evidence to substantiate what is already contained in the proposal. The costing and content of proposals may not be amended.

Funct	ional Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Min. Score
1.1	 Team Leader/ Project Manager (par 2.1 of ToR): 1= The team leader/ project manager does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications. 3= The team leader/ project manager meets all of the minimum experience and qualifications requirements. 4= The team leader/project manager exceeds the minimum experience or qualifications requirements. 	3	9
1.2	 Sector Expert (s) (par 2.1. of ToR): 1= The sector expert(s) does/do not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications 3= The sector expert (s) meet(s) all the minimum experience and qualifications requirements. 4= The sector expert(s) exceed(s) the minimum experience or qualifications requirements. 	3	9
1.3	 Researcher (par 2.1. of ToR): 1= The researcher does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications. 3= The researcher meets all the minimum experience and qualifications requirements. 4= The researcher exceeds the minimum experience or qualifications requirements. 	3	9
1.4	 Facilitator/Stakeholder relations expert (par 2.1. of ToR): 1= The facilitator/stakeholder relations expert does not meet the minimum requirements for either experience or qualifications 3= The facilitator/stakeholder relations expert meets all the minimum experience and qualifications requirements. 4= The facilitator/stakeholder relations expert exceeds the minimum experience or qualifications requirements. 	3	9

4.4. Functional evaluation Part 2 – Qualitative criteria

The functional evaluation criteria indicated below will be applied during Part 2 of functional evaluation to all bids that met the minimum requirements stipulated under Functional evaluation Part 1. During part 2 the Bid Evaluation Committee may:

- Evaluate and score bids based on the bid documents and proposals submitted; or
- Provisionally evaluate and score bidders based on proposals submitted and then invite bidders that met all requirements under Part 1 and a provisional overall score of at least 60% for both functional evaluation parts 1 and 2, to present their bids.

The final evaluation and scoring of bids will based on the proposals submitted, as well as on information provided by bidders during bid presentations (if applicable). Presentations can be used to summarise and clarify bids and may not substantially depart from the proposals submitted.

If a bidder is unable to attend a bid presentation on the date requested by the Bid Evaluation Committee, then the bidder must be afforded another opportunity within 5 workings. If a bidder is for a second time unable to attend a bid presentation then the bid must be evaluated based on the bid documents and proposals submitted only.

Part 2: Minimum functional requirements: Only bids that obtained the <u>minimum score for each criteria</u> as well as an <u>overall score of at least 75%</u> for both functional evaluation parts 1 and 2, will proceed to Price/PPPFA evaluation.

Functional Evaluation Criteria			Min. Score
2.1	 Understanding the brief. The proposal and / or presentation by the service provider (as per annexure A paragraphs 2 and3): 1= Did not address the purpose and objectives of the project. 2= Proposal shows minimal understanding of the assignment and partially addresses the purpose and objectives of the project. 3= Proposal shows good understanding of the assignment and fully addresses the purpose and objectives of the project. 4= Proposal shows exceptional understanding of the assignment and policy issues, the purpose and objectives of the project. 	5	15
2.2	 Proposed approach (as per annexure A paragraph 4) 1= Proposed methodology is not aligned to the purpose and key questions. 2= Proposed methodology is partially aligned to the purpose and key questions. 3= Project design, sample, data collection tools and analytical framework proposed is fully aligned to the purpose and key research questions. 4= In addition to 3, the methodology is innovative and will add value beyond the originally intended purpose and objectives of the project. 	5	15
2.3	 Extent to which the methodology is realistic given the scope and time frames of the project 1= The methodology is not aligned to the scope and times frames of the project. 2= The methodology partially aligned to scope and time frames and may negatively impact delivery. 3= The methodology is realistic given the scope and time frames of the project. 4= The methodology provides innovative solutions to reduce costs associated with the project. 	3	9
2.4	 Project plan (par 2.4 of ToR and Annexure B3): 1= No project plan included in bid. 2= Project plan does not fully address all deliverables or does not indicate completion within the required time frames. 3= Project plan addresses all deliverables and indicates completion of the project within the required time frames. 4= Project plan addresses all deliverables and indicates completion of the project in significantly less than the envisaged time frames. 	3	9

_

_ _

4.5. PPPFA: Price /Specific Goals

Only bids that meet the minimum administrative and functional requirements / specifications indicated in the ToR (qualifying bids) will be evaluated in terms of the Preferential Procurement Framework Act and related regulations – see attached bid documents. The evaluation method (80/20 or 90/10) and preference points allocation applicable to this bid are indicated in the attached SBD 6.1. Points to be allocated as follows:

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender (MEANS OF VERIFICATION WILL BE: CSD, BBBEE certificate/ Affidavit/ ID copy & Share Certificate/ CIPC (CoR13)/ ID Copy/ Confirmation letter/ CSD report- preferred address to be used for the award of points.)	allocated	POINTS TO Applicable to 80/20AWARD >= More than < = Less Than
Black ownership with at least 51%	5	>51% = 5 points <51% = 1 point
Black ownership with disabilities as defined by Employment Equity Act of 1998 (Attach certificate)	1 1	Yes = 3 points No = 0 point
Black women ownership with at least 30%	2	>30% = 2 points <30% = 0 point
Black youth ownership by at least 30% (at the date of closing of RFQ/RFP/Tender)		Yes = 3 points No = 0 point
Black Military veteran ownership		Yes = 2 points No = 0 point
Suppliers situated in the local Municipality of the project/event. (Local supplier from where the event is taking place-rural and semi-urban areas)	3	Yes = 3 points No = 0 point
Small, Medium & Micro Enterprises, makes a profit of less than R10 million a year		Yes = 2 points No = 0 point
TOTAL	20	

5. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

The successful bidder will be required to enter into a service level agreement (SLA) with the NPC. The National Treasury General Conditions of Contract (GCC) will form part of the SLA to be concluded between DPME and the successful bidder. A copy of the standard DPME SLA is available on the DPME tenders website. Bidders should familiarise themselves the content of the standard template.

6. SPECIAL CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO THIS BID

Bidders should note that:

- All information related to this bid, or information provided to the service provider subsequent to the award of this bid, must be treated as confidential and may not be disclosed in any way to third parties without the explicit written consent of DPME.
- All right, title and ownership of any Intellectual Property developed by or for the Service Provider or DPME independently and outside of execution/production of the Deliverables related to this bid, and provided during the course of this project ("Background IP") shall remain the sole property of the party providing the Background IP.
- To the extent that the Service Provider utilises any of its Background IP in connection with the Deliverables, such Background IP shall remain the property of the Service Provider and DPME shall acquire no right or interest therein, save that, upon payment of the applicable consideration, the Service Provider shall grant DPME a non-exclusive, royalty-free, non-transferable licence to use such Background IP strictly for purposes of making beneficial use of the Deliverables into which such Background IP has been incorporated.
- All Intellectual Property rights in Bespoke Deliverables are or will be vested in and owned by DPME unless specifically agreed otherwise in writing. The Service Provider agrees that it shall not, under any circumstances, question or dispute the rights and ownership of DPME in and to the Bespoke Deliverables. DPME shall grant the Service Provider a non-exclusive, royalty free, non-transferable licence to use the Bespoke Deliverables for the purpose of performing its obligations under this project.
- The Service Provider may not publish or sell, in whole or in part, any Bespoke Deliverables emanating from this project without the explicit written consent of DPME.
- The Copyright of any Bespoke Deliverables shall vest in DPME.